

**From:** [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)  
**To:** [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: hash-based signatures  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 8, 2017 9:49:00 AM

---

Here's what Quynh said. Do we need to do anything, or just wait for the IETF?

---

**From:** Dang, Quynh (Fed)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 08, 2017 9:49 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: hash-based signatures

The XMSS scheme is getting through its final steps to become an RFC. I hope it will be done in a 2 or 3 months.

The other scheme is still under reviews/development step by the working group.

Quynh.

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 8, 2017 9:25:30 AM  
**To:** Dang, Quynh (Fed)  
**Subject:** hash-based signatures

Quynh,

Has there been anything new with hash-based signatures in the IETF? What's the current status?

Dustin